

1 Louis Benowitz (SBN 262300)
louis@benowitzlaw.com
2 LAW OFFICES OF LOUIS BENOWITZ
9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Penthouse
3 Beverly Hills, California 90212
4 Telephone: (310) 844-5141
Facsimile: (310) 492-4056

5 David Pourati (SBN 259245)
david@pourati.com
6 LAW OFFICE OF DAVID POURATI, APC
12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 920
7 Los Angeles, California 90025
8 Telephone: (310) 494-7900, Extension 160
Facsimile: (310) 494-7901

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
10 RICHARD HUNT

11 **SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

13 RICHARD HUNT, on behalf of himself and
14 all others similarly situated,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 SITA INFORMATION NETWORKING
18 COMPUTING USA INC., a Delaware
19 corporation; and DOES 1–50, inclusive,

20 Defendants.
21
22

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of San Diego

10/01/2015 at 12:17:00 PM

Clerk of the Superior Court
By E- Filing, Deputy Clerk

Case No. 37-2014-00015476-CU-OE-CTL
[Assigned for All Purposes to the Honorable
Judith F. Hayes of Department C-68]

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF: (1) ORDER
GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT; AND
(2) JUDGMENT**

23
24
25
26
27
28
**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF: (1) ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT; AND (2) JUDGMENT**

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL:

2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on September 29, 2015, the Court in the above-
3 captioned matter entered the following:

- 4 1. The Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, a true and
5 correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A; and
6 2. The Judgment, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

7 Respectfully submitted,
8 LAW OFFICES OF LOUIS BENOWITZ

9
10 Dated: October 1, 2015


11 BY 
12 LOUIS BENOWITZ
13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
14 RICHARD HUNT

EXHIBIT A

1 Louis Benowitz (SBN 262300)
louis@benowitzlaw.com
2 LAW OFFICES OF LOUIS BENOWITZ
9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Penthouse
3 Beverly Hills, California 90212
Telephone: (310) 844-5141
4 Facsimile: (310) 492-4056

5 David Pourati (SBN 259245)
david@pourati.com
6 LAW OFFICE OF DAVID POURATI, APC
12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 920
7 Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: (310) 494-7900, Extension 160
8 Facsimile: (310) 494-7901

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
10 RICHARD HUNT

11 (Attorneys for Defendant
12 SITA INFORMATION NETWORKING COMPUTING USA INC.
Listed on Following Page)

13 **SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**
14 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

16 RICHARD HUNT, on behalf of himself and
all others similarly situated,

17 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 SITA INFORMATION NETWORKING
COMPUTING USA INC., a Delaware
21 corporation; and DOES 1–50, inclusive,

22 Defendants.

Case No. 37-2014-00015476-CU-OE-CTL
[Assigned for All Purposes to the Honorable
Judith F. Hayes of Department C-68]

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Hearing Information

Date: September 25, 2015
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Department: C-68
Judge: Hon. Judith F. Hayes

23
24
25
26
27
28
**[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of San Diego
09/29/2015 at 01:21:00 PM
Clerk of the Superior Court
By Lee McAlister, Deputy Clerk

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL:

2 The Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement came before this Court, the
3 Honorable Judith F. Hayes presiding, on September 25, 2015. The Court having considered the
4 papers submitted in support of the Motion, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

5 1. Capitalized terms shall have the definitions set forth in the Joint Stipulation of
6 Class Settlement and Release (the “Settlement”).

7 2. The following Settlement Class is conditionally certified for purposes of
8 settlement only: “All technicians who are non-exempt employees of SITA, who worked in
9 California from May 15, 2010 through the date of preliminary approval of the class settlement.”
10

11 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this lawsuit and over all
12 Parties to this lawsuit, including all Class Members.

13 4. The Court finds that the Settlement Class is properly certified as a class for
14 settlement purposes only.

15 5. The Notice provided to the Settlement Class conforms with the requirements of
16 Code of Civil Procedure section 382, Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766
17 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and any other applicable law, and
18 constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, by providing individual notice to
19 all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and by providing due and
20 adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein to the other Class
21 Members. The Notice fully satisfied the requirements of due process.

22 6. The Court finds the Settlement was entered into in good faith, that the settlement
23 is fair, reasonable and adequate, and that the Settlement satisfies the standards and applicable
24 requirements for final approval of this class action settlement under California law, including
25 the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769.

26 7. Zero (0) Class Members have objected to the terms of the Settlement.
27

28 ///

1 8. Zero (0) Class Members have requested exclusion from the Settlement Class. As
2 a result, all Class Members are Qualified Claimants and bound by the Settlement.

3 9. Upon entry of this Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement
4 and Judgment, the Claims Administrator shall distribute the Net Settlement Amount Payments
5 to Qualified Claimants pursuant to the terms of the Settlement.

6 10. In addition to any recovery that Plaintiff may receive under the Settlement as a
7 Class Member, and in recognition of his efforts on behalf of the Settlement Class, the Court
8 hereby approves the Enhancement Award in the amount of \$5,000.00.

9 11. The Court approves awards of \$51,048.33 in attorneys' fees and \$6,002.65 in
10 costs to Class Counsel.

11 12. The Court approves and orders payment of Administration Costs in the amount
12 of \$1,750.00 to Class Action Claims Administration, Inc. for performance of its services as the
13 Claims Administrator.

14 13. The Court approves the PAGA Payment of \$1,000.00.

15 14. The Parties are to give notice to all Class Members of this Settlement Order and
16 Judgment in accordance with California Rule of Court 3.771(b) by having the Claims
17 Administrator post this Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and
18 Judgment to its website for a period of sixty (60) days.

19 15. Upon the Effective Date, Plaintiff and Qualified Claimants shall have, by
20 operation of this Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and concurrently
21 filed Judgment, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Defendant and
22 the Releasees from their respective Released Claims as defined by the terms of the Settlement.

23 16. Upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Plaintiff and all Class Members shall
24 be and are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from the institution or prosecution of any
25 and all of their respective Released Claims under the terms of the Settlement.

26
27 ///

EXHIBIT B

1 Louis Benowitz (SBN 262300)
louis@benowitzlaw.com
2 LAW OFFICES OF LOUIS BENOWITZ
9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Penthouse
3 Beverly Hills, California 90212
Telephone: (310) 844-5141
4 Facsimile: (310) 492-4056

5 David Pourati (SBN 259245)
david@pourati.com
6 LAW OFFICE OF DAVID POURATI, APC
12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 920
7 Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: (310) 494-7900, Extension 160
8 Facsimile: (310) 494-7901

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
10 RICHARD HUNT

11 (Attorneys for Defendant
12 SITA INFORMATION NETWORKING COMPUTING USA INC.
Listed on Following Page)

13 **SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**
14 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

16 RICHARD HUNT, on behalf of himself and
all others similarly situated,

17 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 SITA INFORMATION NETWORKING
COMPUTING USA INC., a Delaware
21 corporation; and DOES 1–50, inclusive,

22 Defendants.
23
24

Case No. 37-2014-00015476-CU-OE-CTL
[Assigned for All Purposes to the Honorable
Judith F. Hayes of Department C-68]

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

Hearing Information

Date: September 25, 2015
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Department: C-68
Judge: Hon. Judith F. Hayes

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of San Diego
09/29/2015 at 01:21:00 PM
Clerk of the Superior Court
By Lee McAlister, Deputy Clerk


1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL:

2 The Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement came before this Court, the
3 Honorable Judith F. Hayes presiding, on September 25, 2015. The Court having previously
4 entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement on May 27, 2015,
5 the Parties having fully briefed the issues regarding final approval and attorney's fees, the cause
6 having been heard, and the Court having granted final approval of the Settlement, IT IS
7 HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT Judgment is entered on the terms
8 set forth in the Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10
11
12 Dated: 09/29/2015

BY



HON. JUDITH HAYES

San Diego County Superior Court Judge

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 State of California,
3 County of Los Angeles

4 1. I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the County of Los
5 Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to this action. My
6 business address is 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Penthouse, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

7 2. I am familiar with the practice of the Law Offices of Louis Benowitz for
8 collection and processing of correspondence for email. It is the practice that correspondence is
9 emailed on the day that it is completed.

10 3. On October 1, 2015, I served the documents described as **NOTICE OF ENTRY**
11 **OF: (1) ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION**
12 **SETTLEMENT; AND (2) JUDGMENT** on the following interested parties:


13 Thomas R. Kaufman
14 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
15 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600
16 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6017
17 tkaufman@sheppardmullin.com

18 Annette A. Idalski
19 Fletcher Beaumont Howard
20 CHAMBERLAIN HRDLICKA WILLIAMS & AUGHTRY
21 191 Peachtree St. NE, 34th Floor
22 Atlanta, GA 30303
23 annette.idalski@chamberlainlaw.com, beau.howard@chamberlainlaw.com

24 XXX BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I caused to be transmitted via electronic mail the
25 document(s) described herein pursuant to an agreement by counsel to accept service in
26 accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure § 1010.6(a)(6).

27 EXECUTED on October 1, 2015 at Beverly Hills, California.

28 XXX (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that
the above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.



LOUIS BENOWITZ